MARQUISE CHISOLM

ORIGINAL

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

Jury trial demanded

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, POLICE
OFFICER MICHAEL NOBOA
(SHIELD #17135), POLICE
OFFICERS JOHN DOE #1-2
defendants.

SEP 0 7 2017
PRO SE OFFICE

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

I, This is a civil rights action alleging that
The City of New York and several New York
City Officers violated Plaintiffs rights under
42 U.S.C. \$61983 and the Fourth Sixth and
Fourteenth Amendments to the United States
Constitution. Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that
on November 23, 2013, defendents falsely
arrested him, falsely imprisoned him,
and made false accusations about him to
the Kings County District Attorney's Office.
Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive
damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and

such other further relief as The Court deems just and proper.

JURIS DICTION & VENUE

2. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. \$6 1983 and the Fourth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343

3. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b) and (c).

PARTLES

4. Plaintiff is a citizen of the United States, at all times relevant to this action he was a resident of Kings County, New York. Plaintiff Currently resides in Cheming County, New York.

5. The City of New York (the 'City") is a municipal corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York.

Co. Police officers Michael Noboa ("Noboa"),

John Doe #1 and John Doe #2 are members

of the New York City Police Department who

were acting under color of law and in their

capacities as City law enforcement officers at

all relevant times. Noboa, Doe #1 and Doe #2

are liable for directly participating in the

unlawful acts described herein and for failing

to protect Plaintiff from unconstitutional conduct.

Noboa, Doe #1 and Doe #2 are sued in their

individual and official capacity.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 7. On November 23, 2013, at approximately 2:00 a.m., Plaintiff was stunding at the 24 hour bodega talking with a friend.
- 8. Defendents were driving in an unmarked police car and pulled over in front of Plaintiff and his friend.
- 9. Defendents exited the vehicle and officer Noboa commenced to searching Plaintiff.
- 10. Defendent officer Noboa recovered a Knife and Plaintiff was handouffed and arrested.

11. Plaintiff was transported to the 81st Precinct and charged with weapons possession. 12. Plaintiff was detained for several hours before receiving a Desk Appearance Ticket (D.A.T.) 13. Plaintiff moved for suppression of physical evidence 14. Upon discovery Plaintiff learned that Defendent Officer Noboa Committed libel against Plaintiff. 15. A Dunaway | Mapp hearing was conducted on June 23, 2014, pursuant to CPL 255.20[4]. 16. On September 8, 2014, Plaintiff motion to suppress was granted. 17. Plaintiff was deprived of his liberty, Suffered emotional distress, mental anguish, fear, anxiety, embarrassment, humiliation and damage to his reputation

FIRST CLAIM (§§1983 FALSE ARREST)

- 18. Plaintiff repeats the foregoing allegations.
- 19. Defendents violated the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments because they arrested Plaintiff without probable cause.
- 20. Plaintiff was aware of his confinement and did not consent to it.
- 21. The confinement was not otherwise privileged.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of this unlawful conduct, Plaintiff sustained the damaged alleged.

SECOND CLAIM (S& 1983 FABRICATION OF EVIDENCE)

- 23. Plaintiff repeats the foregoing allegations.
- 24. The individual Defendents created false ovidence against Plaintiff and forwarded such false evidence to the Kings County District Attorney's Office.

- 25. In creating such false evidence the Defendents violated Plaintiff constitutional right to a fair trial.
- 26. The aforesaid conduct of the City of Wew York violated Plaintiff's rights under 42 U.S.C. & 1983 and the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of this unlawful conduct, Plaintiff sustained the damages alleged

THIRD CLAIM (§ 1983 DEFAMATION)

- 28. Plaintiff repeats the foregoing allegations
- 29. Defendents violated Plaintiff constitutional rights when they committed libel against him.
- 30. The aforesaid conduct by Defendents violated Plaintiffs rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

31. As a direct and proximate result of this unlawful conduct, Plaintiff sustained the damages alleged,

FOURTH CLAIM (§1983 " MONELL" CLAIM)

32. Plaintiff repeats the foregoing allegations.

33. The City, through policies, practices and customs, directly caused the constitutional violations suffered by Plaintiff

34. The City, Through its police department, has had and still has hiring, training, promotion and retention practices that it knows will lead to the hiring, training, promotion of police officers unable to discharge their duties in accordance with the constitution,

35. The City, through its police department, has a de facto quota policy that encourages unlawful stops, unlawful searches, false arrests, the fabrication of evidence and perjury

36. The City, at all relevant times, was aware that these individuals Defendents routinely commit

Constitutional violations such as those at issue here and has failed to change its policies, practices and customs to stop this behavior.

31. The City, at all relevant times, was aware that these individual defendents are unfit officers who have previously committed the acts alleged herein and for have a propensity for unconstitutional conduct.

38. The policies, practices and customs were. The moving force behind Plaintiff's injuries

PRAYER FUR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands a jury trainly and the following relief jointly and severally against the defendents.

a. Compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;

b. Punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;

C. Costs, interest and attorney's fees;

) • • • •	Case 1:17-cv-05327-MKB-JO Document 1 Filed 09/07/17 Page 9 of 9 PageID #: \$ 7
- A	
	d. Such other and further relief as the
•	d. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
	John John John John John John John John
	Dated: September & 2017 MC
	The state of the s
	Brooklyn, NewYork
	Margeuse Chisolm
	Marquise Chisolm Pro Se
•	537/Bradford St. #IR
	Brooklyn, N.Y. 11207
·	
	P.O. officer Michael Noboa (SHIELD # 17135) He located at the 81st Precinct 30 Ralph Ane Brooklyn, N.Y. 11221
	(SHIELD # 17135)
	He located at The 81st Precinct
	30 Ralph Ane
	Brooklyn, N.Y. 11221
	·